ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

	CIVIL MIMOTES - GENERAL			h lud partur Marc
Case No. CV 06-7561 PA	(CWx)	Date	August 7, 2007	Fin Fin Fin
	om, Inc., et al. v. Edriver, Inc., et al.			U)
Present: The Honorable P	ERCY ANDERSON, UNITED STATES	DISTRICT	Г JUDGE	
C. Kevin Reddick	N/A		N/A	
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter		Tape No.	
Attorneys Present	for Plaintiffs: Attorney	Attorneys Present for Defendants		
None	;	None		

Proceedings:

IN CHAMBERS - COURT ORDER

Before the Court is defendants' application and proposed order to file under seal defendants' motion for summary judgment, separate statement of undisputed facts and compendium of declarations and exhibits ("Motion") Defendants contend that their Motion be filed under seal because "confidential information is incorporated into the motion, the separate statement of undisputed facts and the supporting declarations."

The Court's review of the documents submitted with defendants' motion indicates that very few of those materials, for example the separate statement, are subject to the Protective Order issued by the Court or otherwise qualify to be filed under seal. The Court therefore denies the defendants' application and orders that the documents be returned to counsel.

When defendants resubmit their motion for summary judgment, they should only seek to have those documents or exhibits containing protected material filed under seal pursuant to an appropriate ex parte application under Local Rule 79-5. Any such ex parte application should comply with the requirements of Local Rule 7-19.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



The defendants may also utilize the procedure set forth in Local Rule 79-5.4 for sensitive financial information.